

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/785,693 | 02/16/2001 | Rocky Stewart | BEAS-01033US5 | 3894 | | |
| 7590 04/29/2004 | | | EXAMI | EXAMINER | | |
| Sheldon R. Meyer, Esq. FLIESLER DUBB MEYER & LOVEJOY, LLP Fourth Floor | | | CHANKON | CHANKONG, DOHM | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| Four Embarcadero Center 2154 | | | | n | | |
| San Francisco, | CA 94111-4156 | | DATE MAILED: 04/29/2004 | · 17 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ₹ ₹ | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---------|
| • | Application | No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/785,693 | | STEWART ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | Dohm Char | kong | 2154 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communicated Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOI | R REPLY IS SET TO | | • | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum staturent or reply within the set or extended period for reply with Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event incation. days, a reply within the statuto tory period will apply and will a li, by statute, cause the application. | ry minimum of thirty (3 expire SIX (6) MONTH ation to become ABAN | io) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communic DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | cation. |
| Status | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed | on <u>16 May 2002</u> . | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b | o)⊠ This action is no | n-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition fo | | | | ts is |
| closed in accordance with the practice | e under <i>Ex parte Qua</i> | yle, 1935 C.D. 1 | 1, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the ap | plication. | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are | withdrawn from cons | sideration. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction | on and/or election red | uirement. | • | |
| o) are easyest to resime | | 1 | | |
| Application Papers | , | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the | | . | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objecti | | | | 21(4) |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be | i | | | |
| , _ | by the Examiner. Not | c the attached t | Ande Addon of form 1.3. to | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | 19(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority d | | | .U41 N.a | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority d3. Copies of the certified copies of | | | | د |
| application from the Internation | | | ,corved in ting readonal orage | • |
| * See the attached detailed Office action | - , | | ceived. | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | • |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | | nmary (PTO-413) | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P | | | Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6,7,8,12 - 5-16-02</u> . | | 6) Other: | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/785,693 Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-7 and 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Marks, U.S Patent No. 5,956,491.
- 4. As to claim 1, Marks teaches a conversation manager for managing the flow of messages in a collaboration system, comprising:
- a conversation initiation mechanism for initiating a conversation (column 9, lines 19-30);
- a participation registration mechanism for registering participants in a conversation (column 7, line 65 to column 8, line 9 and column 11, lines 7-11 and claim 8); and
- a conversation repository for storing conversation management data (column 7, line 65 to column 8, line 63).

- 5. As to claim 2, Marks teaches a conversation manager wherein the conversation manager controls the flow of conversation between the participants (column 8, lines 51-63 and column 10, lines 24-29).
- 6. As to claim 3, Marks teaches a conversation manager wherein the conversation includes a plurality of messages passed between two or more participants (column 9, lines 34 to 62 and column 11 line 56 to column 12, line 67).
- 7. As to claim 4, Marks teaches a conversation manager wherein the conversation manager controls a publish/subscribe service for accepting messages and sending messages to and from participants (claims 36, 39 and 40).
- 8. As to claim 5, Marks teaches a conversation manager wherein a registered participant may send messages to the publish /subscribe service for distribution to one or more participants (claims 35, 39, and 40).
- 9. As to claim 6, Marks teaches a conversation manager wherein a conversation is initiated by an initiator participant authorized to initiate conversations (column 9, lines 19-23).

- 10. As to claim 7, Marks teaches a conversation manager wherein the conversation repository includes instructions for distribution of messages sent via the publish/subscribe service to the participants (column 8, lines 27-63).
- II. Claim II is a claim to a method which performs the steps of the machine in claim I.

 Therefore claim II is rejected for the same reasons set forth in above paragraph 4 for claim I.
- 12. Claim 12 is a claim to a method which performs the steps of the machine in claim 2.

 Therefore claim 12 is rejected for the same reasons set forth in above paragraph 5 for claim 2.
- 13. Claim 13 is a claim to a method which performs the steps of the machine in claim 3.

 Therefore claim 13 is rejected for the same reasons set forth in above paragraph 6 for claim 3.
- 14. Claim 14 is a claim to a method which performs the steps of the machine in claim 4.

 Therefore claim 14 is rejected for the same reasons set forth in above paragraph 7 for claim 4.
- 15. Claim 15 is a claim to a method which performs the steps of the machine in claim 5.

 Therefore claim 15 is rejected for the same reasons set forth in above paragraph 8 for claim 5.
- 16. Claim 16 is a claim to a method which performs the steps of the machine in claim 6.

 Therefore claim 16 is rejected for the same reasons set forth in above paragraph 9 for claim 6.

Application/Control Number: 09/785,693

Art Unit: 2154

17. Claim 17 is a claim to a method which performs the steps of the machine in claim 7.

Therefore claim 17 is rejected for the same reasons set forth in above paragraph 10 for claim 7.

Page 5

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 18. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 19. Claims 8 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marks as applied to claims 1 and 11 above, in view of DeSimone et al (hereinafter DeSimone), U.S Patent No. 6,212,548.
- 20. As to claim 8, Marks does not teach a conversation manager wherein a conversation may be terminated by a terminator participant authorized to terminate conversations.
- DeSimone teaches a conversation manager wherein a conversation may be terminated by a terminator participant authorized to terminate conversations (column 11, line 48 to column 13, line 37). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Marks to include conversation termination functionality in the participants so they may be able to leave the conversation at any time.

Application/Control Number: 09/785,693

Art Unit: 2154

Page 6

- Claim 18 is a claim to a method which performs the steps of the machine of claim 8.

 Therefore, claim 18 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in above paragraph 22 for claim 8.
- 23. Claims 9 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marks as applied to claims 1 and 11 above, in view of Porter, U.S Patent No. 6,434,599.
- As to claim 9, Marks does not teach a conversation manager wherein a conversation may be aborted by the conversation manager.
- 25. Porter teaches it is well known in the art to have a conversation manager wherein a conversation may be aborted by the conversation manager (column 8, lines 57-62). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include abort functionality into Marks' conversation manager to close of chat sessions when there are no more participants in the conversation.
- 26. Claim 19 is a claim to a method that performs the steps of the machine of claim 9. Therefore, claim 19 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in above paragraph 25 for claim 9.

27. Claims 10 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marks and DeSimone as applied to claims 1, 8, 11 and 18 above, in further view of Pinard et al (hereinafter Pinard) U.S Patent No. 6,230,287.

Page 7

- 28. As to claim 10, Marks does not teach a conversation manager wherein participant in an aborted conversation may be compensated for automatically by a substitute participant.
- 29. Pinard teaches a conversation manager wherein participant in an aborted conversation may be compensated for automatically by a substitute participant (column 5, line 66 to column 6, line 11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include participant substitution functionality into Marks so the next user can be connected to the chat room with minimal delay.
- 30. Claim 20 is a claim to a method that performs the steps of the machine of claim 10. Therefore, claim 20 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in above paragraph 29 for claim 10.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dohm Chankong whose telephone number is (703)305-8864.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (703)305-8498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DC